



Aalborg Universitet

AALBORG UNIVERSITY
DENMARK

Guideline Implications of Prothrombotic State Assessment in Low-Risk Atrial Fibrillation Patients

Consistency With CHA2DS2-VASc and Support for CHADS-65

Nattel, Stanley; Lip, Gregory Y H

Published in:
Canadian Journal of Cardiology

DOI (link to publication from Publisher):
[10.1016/j.cjca.2019.03.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjca.2019.03.001)

Creative Commons License
CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

Publication date:
2019

Document Version
Accepted author manuscript, peer reviewed version

[Link to publication from Aalborg University](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Nattel, S., & Lip, G. Y. H. (2019). Guideline Implications of Prothrombotic State Assessment in Low-Risk Atrial Fibrillation Patients: Consistency With CHA2DS2-VASc and Support for CHADS-65. *Canadian Journal of Cardiology*, 35(5), 547-549. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjca.2019.03.001>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal -

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at vbn@aub.aau.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Accepted Manuscript

Guideline Implications of Prothrombotic State Assessment in Low-Risk Atrial Fibrillation Patients: Consistency with CHA₂DS₂-VASc and support for CHADS-65

Stanley Nattel, MD, Gregory Y.H. Lip, MD

PII: S0828-282X(19)30150-3

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjca.2019.03.001>

Reference: CJCA 3198

To appear in: *Canadian Journal of Cardiology*

Received Date: 4 March 2019

Accepted Date: 4 March 2019

Please cite this article as: Nattel S, Lip GYH, Guideline Implications of Prothrombotic State Assessment in Low-Risk Atrial Fibrillation Patients: Consistency with CHA₂DS₂-VASc and support for CHADS-65, *Canadian Journal of Cardiology* (2019), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjca.2019.03.001>.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Guideline Implications of Prothrombotic State Assessment in Low-Risk Atrial Fibrillation Patients:

Consistency with CHA₂DS₂-VASc and support for CHADS-65

Stanley Nattel, MD¹; Gregory Y.H. Lip, MD²

¹Department of Medicine, Montreal Heart Institute and Université de Montréal, and Department of Pharmacology and Therapeutics, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada, IHU Liryc and Fondation Bordeaux Université, Bordeaux, France, and Institute of Pharmacology, West German Heart and Vascular Center, Faculty of Medicine, University Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany.

² Liverpool Centre for Cardiovascular Science, University of Liverpool and Liverpool Heart & Chest Hospital, Liverpool, United Kingdom; and Aalborg Thrombosis Research Unit, Department of Clinical Medicine, Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark

Short title: Prothrombotic state associated with AF risk factors

Word count: 1970

Correspondence: Stanley Nattel, Montreal Heart Institute Research Centre; 5000 Belanger St. E., Montreal H1T 1C8, Quebec, Canada. Telephone: 514-376-3330; fax: 514-376-1355; e-mail: stanley.nattel@icm-mhi.org.

One of the most important objectives in the treatment of atrial fibrillation (AF) is the safe and effective prevention of thromboembolic stroke. All major society AF guidelines expend substantial energy on the provision of clear recommendations for the use of oral anticoagulants in stroke prevention.¹ Because oral anticoagulants increase bleeding risk, their use is generally not recommended for patients at the lowest risk for stroke, with the logical (but not really proven) assumption that the potential risks outweigh the gains in such individuals. By far the most widely used system for estimating stroke risk in AF is the CHA₂DS₂-VASc score, which provides 1 point each for Congestive heart failure, Hypertension, Diabetes, Vascular disease (1 point each if present; 0 if absent) and Sex category (1 if female, 0 if male); 2 points for prior Stroke/transient ischemic attack (TIA)/embolic event; 1 point for Age 65-74 and 2 points for age ≥ 75.² The Canadian Cardiovascular Society (CCS) uses a similar but somewhat different system, often called “CHADS-65”, with anticoagulation recommended for any of the classical CHADS₂ risk factors (congestive heart failure, hypertension, diabetes and stroke/TIA/embolism) or for age ≥ 65.³ This system was introduced in 2014⁴ and first referred to as “CHADS-65” in the 2016 CCS AF Guidelines Update.⁵

For the lowest-risk patients, none of the major societies recommend oral anticoagulation. For high-risk patients, there is similar congruity among all societies in recommending oral anticoagulation. The greatest differences among guidelines occurs for patients with low but not lowest risk. For patients with one CHA₂DS₂-VASc factor (besides sex category, which is now accepted to constitute a risk modifier, rather than a risk factor per se),⁶ the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the 2019 focused update of the American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology/Heart Rhythm Society 2019 Guidelines recommend that oral

anticoagulation may be considered.^{7,8} The CCS recommends anticoagulation for age \geq 65 and any of the CHADS₂ factors, but not for vascular disease alone (CHADS-65; essentially CHA₂DS₂ without vascular disease or female sex).³⁻⁵

In this issue of the *Canadian Journal of Cardiology*, Glowicki et al report the results of a systematic approach to examining the thromboembolic risk profile in patients with one additional CHA₂DS₂-VASc risk factor (beyond sex).⁹ They systematically measured important prothrombotic indices in AF patients, comparing the results in 52 patients with a CHA₂DS₂-VASc score of 0 in men or 1 in women with those in 118 individuals with a CHA₂DS₂-VASc score of 1 in men or 2 in women. The measures that they examined include plasma clot permeability (K_s), clot lysis time (CLT) and endogenous thrombin potential (ETP), along with a range of plasma biomarkers including van Willebrand's factor (vWF) and plasminogen-activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1). K_s is a measure of fibrin clot structure. Lower values reflect more compact fibrin clots, and are associated with increased risk of both major bleeding and stroke/TIA.¹⁰ CLT quantifies the resistance of clots to breakdown in the blood, with longer CLT being associated with cardiovascular disease and risk factors.¹¹ ETP is an index of the thrombin-generating ability resulting from the balance between coagulation-promoting and -inhibiting factors in the blood.¹² Thus, greater thrombotic risk is associated with lower values of K_s and higher values of CLT and ETP.

Glowicki et al find an increased thrombogenic profile in patients with a single non-sex CHA₂DS₂-VASc score risk factor (i.e. score of 1 in men or 2 in women) - they have smaller values of K_s and larger values of CLT and ETP, as well as increases in the thrombotic biomarkers

vWF and PAI-1, compared to those with lower CHA₂DS₂-VASc scores. They then go on to examine individual CHA₂DS₂-VASc risk factors to determine their specific relationship with thrombotic risk. Of the CHA₂DS₂-VASc factors, in the optimized multivariate model only age 65-74 was a significant determinant of all thrombotic indices: 65-74-year olds displayed smaller K_s and larger CLT and ETP values than younger individuals. Of the other risk factors, hypertension and heart failure patients had lower K_s and higher CLT values than those without; neither were significantly associated with ETP. Vascular disease, diabetes and sex were not significant determinants of any of the thrombotic indices in the multivariate model. When each factor was considered alone, patients with diabetes had lower K_s values than those without, but there were no differences in any of the variables for those with versus without vascular disease, or for males versus females.

These findings suggest that patients with CHA₂DS₂-VASc score of 1 in men or 2 in women have increased values of all thrombotic indices compared to those at low risk, consistent with the notion that the CHA₂DS₂-VASc score is a good measure of thrombogenic potential in AF patients. On the other hand, they do not suggest a significant prothrombotic predisposition in patients with vascular disease or female sex, while emphasizing the important thrombotic risk associated with age 65-74. These results evoke the CHADS-65 approach of the CCS, including age 65-74 years as a key determinant of thrombotic risk while de-emphasizing sex and vascular disease.

Stroke risk estimation algorithms and guidelines must be based on hard clinical outcome data that require large clinical trials and database analyses, not on thrombogenic indices (even though these have been well studied in AF).¹³ Nevertheless, the associations between the thrombotic

measures data in the Glowicki study and the components of stroke-risk prediction algorithms are striking. A recent study analyzing extensive results from clinical trials suggested that biomarker data have the potential to improve stroke risk prediction,¹⁴ although the added value of considering biomarkers is less clear for “real-world data”.¹⁵ The results of the Glowicki report raise the interesting possibility that direct measures of prothrombotic indices might be useful in further refining and defining the risk of thromboembolic events in AF patients.

Current stroke risk stratification schemes do not include all stroke risk factors and are meant to be reductionist, aiding clinical and practical decision-making on whether or not oral anticoagulation is indicated. Thus, the default position is to offer stroke prevention unless patients are at truly low risk, and the recent 2018 CHEST guidelines recommend initial focus on identifying “low risk” patients first rather than our current obsession with identifying high risk patients, whether clinically or with biomarkers.¹⁶ Both CHA₂DS₂-VASc and CHADS₂-65 are useful in this regard. The Glowicki study provides results for meaningful thrombotic indices in a substantial (albeit moderate) number of patients that are consistent with the higher-risk identification of individuals with a single non-sex CHA₂DS₂-VASc score risk factor. Their findings point to the dominant role of age 65-74 in this characterization and fail to identify a contribution of vascular disease or sex to prothrombotic profile, thus providing support to the approach of CHADS₂-65.

Supported by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research and the Heart and Stroke Foundation of Canada.

No disclosures.

REFERENCES

1. Andrade JG, Macle L, Nattel S, Verma A, Cairns J. Contemporary Atrial Fibrillation Management: A Comparison of the Current AHA/ACC/HRS, CCS, and ESC Guidelines. *Can J Cardiol*. 2017 Aug;33(8):965-976.
2. Lip GY, Nieuwlaat R, Pisters R, Lane DA, Crijns HJ. Refining clinical risk stratification for predicting stroke and thromboembolism in atrial fibrillation using a novel risk factor-based approach: the euro heart survey on atrial fibrillation. *Chest*. 2010 Feb;137(2):263-72.
3. Andrade JG, Verma A, Mitchell LB, Parkash R, Leblanc K, Atzema C, Healey JS, Bell A, Cairns J, Connolly S, Cox J, Dorian P, Gladstone D, McMurtry MS, Nair GM, Pilote L, Sarrazin JF, Sharma M, Skanes A, Talajic M, Tsang T, Verma S, Wyse DG, Nattel S, Macle L; CCS Atrial Fibrillation Guidelines Committee. 2018 Focused Update of the Canadian Cardiovascular Society Guidelines for the Management of Atrial Fibrillation. *Can J Cardiol*. 2018 Nov;34(11):1371-1392.
4. Verma A, Cairns JA, Mitchell LB, Macle L, Stiell IG, Gladstone D, McMurtry MS, Connolly S, Cox JL, Dorian P, Ivers N, Leblanc K, Nattel S, Healey JS; CCS Atrial Fibrillation Guidelines Committee. 2014 focused update of the Canadian Cardiovascular Society Guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation. *Can J Cardiol*. 2014 Oct;30(10):1114-30.
5. Macle L, Cairns J, Leblanc K, Tsang T, Skanes A, Cox JL, Healey JS, Bell A, Pilote L, Andrade JG, Mitchell LB, Atzema C, Gladstone D, Sharma M, Verma S, Connolly S, Dorian P, Parkash R, Talajic M, Nattel S, Verma A; CCS Atrial Fibrillation Guidelines Committee. 2016 Focused Update of the Canadian Cardiovascular Society Guidelines for the Management of Atrial Fibrillation. *Can J Cardiol*. 2016 Oct;32(10):1170-1185.
6. Nielsen PB, Skjøth F, Overvad TF, Larsen TB, Lip GYH. Female Sex Is a Risk Modifier Rather Than a Risk Factor for Stroke in Atrial Fibrillation: Should We Use a CHA₂DS₂-VA Score Rather Than CHA₂DS₂-VASc? *Circulation*. 2018 Feb 20;137(8):832-840.
7. Kirchhof P, Benussi S, Kotecha D, Ahlsson A, Atar D, Casadei B, Castella M, Diener HC, Heidbuchel H, Hendriks J, Hindricks G, Manolis AS, Oldgren J, Popescu BA, Schotten U, Van Putte B, Vardas P; ESC Scientific Document Group. 2016 ESC Guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation developed in collaboration with EACTS. *Eur Heart J*. 2016 Oct 7;37(38):2893-2962.
8. January CT, Wann LS, Calkins H, Chen LY, Cigarroa JE, Cleveland JC Jr, Ellinor PT, Ezekowitz MD, Field ME, Furie KL, Heidenreich PA, Murray KT, Shea JB, Tracy CM, Yancy CW. 2019 AHA/ACC/HRS Focused Update of the 2014 AHA/ACC/HRS Guideline for the Management of Patients With Atrial Fibrillation: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2019 Jan 21. pii: S0735-1097(19)30209-8.
9. Głowicki B, Matusik PT, Plens K, Undas A. Prothrombotic state in atrial fibrillation patients with 1 additional risk factor of the CHA₂DS₂-VASc score (beyond sex). *Can J Cardiol* (article commented on).
10. Drabik L, Wołkow P, Undas A. Fibrin clot permeability as a predictor of stroke and bleeding in anticoagulated patients with atrial fibrillation. *Stroke*. 2017 Oct;48(10):2716-2722.

11. de Lange Z, Pieters M, Jerling JC, Kruger A, Rijken DC. Plasma clot lysis time and its association with cardiovascular risk factors in black Africans. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(11):e48881.
12. Tripodi A. Detection of procoagulant imbalance. Modified endogenous thrombin potential with results expressed as ratio of values with-to-without thrombomodulin. *Thromb Haemost*. 2017 May 3;117(5):830-836.
13. Khan AA, Lip GYH. The prothrombotic state in atrial fibrillation: pathophysiological and management implications. *Cardiovasc Res*. 2019 Jan 1;115(1):31-45.
14. Hijazi Z, Lindbäck J, Alexander JH, Hanna M, Held C, Hylek EM, Lopes RD, Oldgren J, Siegbahn A, Stewart RA, White HD, Granger CB, Wallentin L; ARISTOTLE and STABILITY Investigators. The ABC (age, biomarkers, clinical history) stroke risk score: a biomarker-based risk score for predicting stroke in atrial fibrillation. *Eur Heart J*. 2016 May 21;37(20):1582-90.
15. Rivera-Caravaca JM, Roldán V, Esteve-Pastor MA, Valdés M, Vicente V, Lip GYH, Marín F. Long-Term Stroke Risk Prediction in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation: Comparison of the ABC-Stroke and CHA₂DS₂-VASc Scores. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2017 Jul 20;6(7). pii: e006490.
16. Lip GYH, Banerjee A, Boriani G, Chiang CE, Fargo R, Freedman B, Lane DA, Ruff CT, Turakhia M, Werring D, Patel S, Moores L. Antithrombotic Therapy for Atrial Fibrillation: CHEST Guideline and Expert Panel Report. *Chest*. 2018 Nov;154(5):1121-1201.